

# Monotone Submodular Optimization over a Matroid

Yuval Filmus and Justin Ward

5 October 2015

# The problem

Given:

- Matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
- Monotone submodular  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $f(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Goal:

- Find base  $S \in \mathfrak{m}$  maximizing  $f(S)$ .

# Hardness and algorithms

Hardness:

# Hardness and algorithms

Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*

# Hardness and algorithms

Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*

# Hardness and algorithms

Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)

# Hardness and algorithms

Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

# Hardness and algorithms

## Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

## Algorithms:



# Hardness and algorithms

## Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

## Algorithms:

- Uniform matroid: Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation

# Hardness and algorithms

## Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

## Algorithms:

- Uniform matroid: Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation
- General matroid: Greedy is  $1/2$  approximation

# Hardness and algorithms

## Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

## Algorithms:

- Uniform matroid: Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation
- General matroid: Greedy is  $1/2$  approximation
- Vondrák et al.: Continuous Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation

# Hardness and algorithms

## Hardness:

- Generalizes classical NP-hard problem *Maximum Coverage*
- Hard to approximate better than  $1 - 1/e$   
*even for uniform matroid:*
  - NP-hardness for Maximum Coverage (Feige)
  - Oracle hardness (Nemhauser, Fisher, and Wolsey)

## Algorithms:

- Uniform matroid: Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation
- General matroid: Greedy is  $1/2$  approximation
- Vondrák et al.: Continuous Greedy is  $1 - 1/e$  approximation

**Is there an optimal combinatorial algorithm?**

# Local search

Algorithm:

- 
- 
- 1:  $S \leftarrow$  Greedy
  - 2: **repeat**
  - 3:   find  $x \in S, y \notin S$  s.t.  $S - x + y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $f(S - x + y) > f(S)$
  - 4:    $S \leftarrow S - x + y$
  - 5: **until** no such  $x, y$  found
  - 6: **return**  $S$
-

# Local search

Algorithm:

- 
- 
- 1:  $S \leftarrow \text{Greedy}$
  - 2: **repeat**
  - 3:   find  $x \in S, y \notin S$  s.t.  $S - x + y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $f(S - x + y) > f(S)$
  - 4:    $S \leftarrow S - x + y$
  - 5: **until** no such  $x, y$  found
  - 6: **return**  $S$
- 

Gives 1/2 approximation unless exchanging  $\Omega(r)$  elements!

# Local search

Algorithm:

- 
- 
- 1:  $S \leftarrow \text{Greedy}$
  - 2: **repeat**
  - 3:   find  $x \in S, y \notin S$  s.t.  $S - x + y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $g(S - x + y) > g(S)$
  - 4:    $S \leftarrow S - x + y$
  - 5: **until** no such  $x, y$  found
  - 6: **return**  $S$
- 

Gives  $1 - 1/e$  approximation for some explicit  $g!$

# Local search

Algorithm:

- 
- 1:  $S \leftarrow$  Greedy
  - 2: **repeat**
  - 3:   find  $x \in S, y \notin S$  s.t.  $S - x + y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $g(S - x + y) > g(S)$
  - 4:    $S \leftarrow S - x + y$
  - 5: **until** no such  $x, y$  found
  - 6: **return**  $S$
- 

Gives  $1 - 1/e$  approximation for some explicit  $g$ !

$$g(S) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \sum_{T \subseteq S} p^{|T|-1} (1-p)^{|S|-|T|} f(T).$$



## Lemma (Brualdi)

*If  $S, O \in \mathfrak{m}$ , can enumerate*

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}, \quad O = \{o_1, \dots, o_r\}$$

*so that  $S - s_i + o_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $i$ .*

## Lemma (Brualdi)

If  $S, O \in \mathfrak{m}$ , can enumerate

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}, \quad O = \{o_1, \dots, o_r\}$$

so that  $S - s_i + o_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $i$ .

- $O$  — optimal solution of  $f$ ,  $S$  — local optimum of  $g$ .

## Lemma (Brualdi)

If  $S, O \in \mathfrak{m}$ , can enumerate

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}, \quad O = \{o_1, \dots, o_r\}$$

so that  $S - s_i + o_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $i$ .

- $O$  — optimal solution of  $f$ ,  $S$  — local optimum of  $g$ .
- $g(S - s_i + o_i) \leq g(S)$  for all  $i$ .

# Algorithm analysis

## Lemma (Brualdi)

If  $S, O \in \mathfrak{m}$ , can enumerate

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}, \quad O = \{o_1, \dots, o_r\}$$

so that  $S - s_i + o_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $i$ .

- $O$  — optimal solution of  $f$ ,  $S$  — local optimum of  $g$ .
- $g(S - s_i + o_i) \leq g(S)$  for all  $i$ .

## Lemma (Main)

$$\frac{e}{e-1} f(S) \geq f(O) + \sum_{i=1}^r [g(S) - g(S - s_i + o_i)].$$

# Finding $g$

Let

$$g(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} c(|S|, |T|) f(T).$$

# Finding $g$

Let

$$g(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} c(|S|, |T|) f(T).$$

Solve

$$\max_c \min_f f(S)$$

$$\text{s.t. } f(O) = 1$$

$$g(S) \geq g(S - s_i + o_i) \forall i$$

# Finding $g$

Let

$$g(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} c(|S|, |T|) f(T).$$

Solve

$$\begin{aligned} \max_c \min_f f(S) \\ \text{s.t. } f(O) = 1 \\ g(S) \geq g(S - s_i + o_i) \forall i \end{aligned}$$

Hint: dualize inner LP to get an LP.

Define  $f_A(x) = f(A + x) - f(A)$ .

$$g_A(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x)$$



Define  $f_A(x) = f(A + x) - f(A)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g_A(x) &= \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \underbrace{\phi(p, \dots, p)}_{\substack{\text{continuous relaxation} \\ \text{of } B \mapsto f_B(x)}} . \end{aligned}$$

Define  $f_A(x) = f(A + x) - f(A)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g_A(x) &= \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{e^p dp}{e-1} \underbrace{\phi(p, \dots, p)}_{\substack{\text{continuous relaxation} \\ \text{of } B \mapsto f_B(x)}} . \end{aligned}$$

$g$  is monotone and submodular!

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

- $g$  monotone  $\iff \gamma(|A|, |B|) \geq 0$ .

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

- $g$  monotone  $\iff \gamma(|A|, |B|) \geq 0$ .
- $g$  submodular  $\iff$  for some distribution  $\Gamma$  on sets and  $C > 0$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{T \sim \Gamma} [f_{T \cap A}(x)].$$

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

- $g$  monotone  $\iff \gamma(|A|, |B|) \geq 0$ .
- $g$  submodular  $\iff$  for some distribution  $\Gamma$  on sets and  $C > 0$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{T \sim \Gamma} [f_{T \cap A}(x)].$$

de Finetti's theorem: for some distribution  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{p \sim P} \left[ \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x) \right].$$

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

- $g$  monotone  $\iff \gamma(|A|, |B|) \geq 0$ .
- $g$  submodular  $\iff$  for some distribution  $\Gamma$  on sets and  $C > 0$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{T \sim \Gamma} [f_{T \cap A}(x)].$$

de Finetti's theorem: for some distribution  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{p \sim P} \left[ \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x) \right].$$

Proof shows cdf  $\Pi$  of  $P$  satisfies  $\Pi' = \Pi \implies \Pi'(p) = \frac{e^p}{e-1}$ .

## More on $g_A(x)$

Suppose that  $g_A(x) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \gamma(|A|, |B|) f_B(x)$ .

- $g$  monotone  $\iff \gamma(|A|, |B|) \geq 0$ .
- $g$  submodular  $\iff$  for some distribution  $\Gamma$  on sets and  $C > 0$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{T \sim \Gamma} [f_{T \cap A}(x)].$$

de Finetti's theorem: for some distribution  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$g_A(x) = C \mathbb{E}_{p \sim P} \left[ \sum_{B \subseteq A} p^{|B|} (1-p)^{|A|-|B|} f_B(x) \right].$$

Proof shows cdf  $\Pi$  of  $P$  satisfies  $\Pi' = \Pi \implies \Pi'(p) = \frac{e^p}{e-1}$ .

Approximation ratio is  $1/\Pi'(1) = 1 - 1/e$ .



# Coverage functions

Setup:

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

$$g(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = \sum_x w(x) \alpha(\#i \text{ s.t. } x \in s_i).$$

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

$$g(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = \sum_x w(x) \alpha(\#i \text{ s.t. } x \in s_i).$$

$$\alpha(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1 - p)^k}{p} \frac{e^p}{e - 1} dp$$

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

$$g(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = \sum_x w(x) \alpha(\#i \text{ s.t. } x \in s_i).$$

$$\alpha(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1 - p)^k}{p} \frac{e^p}{e - 1} dp = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \ell! \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e - 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{m!} \right)$$

# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

$$g(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = \sum_x w(x) \alpha(\#i \text{ s.t. } x \in s_i).$$

$$\alpha(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1 - p)^k}{p} \frac{e^p}{e - 1} dp = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \ell! \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e - 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{m!} \right) \sim \frac{\ln k}{e - 1}.$$



# Coverage functions

Setup:

- universe  $U$  with weight function  $w \geq 0$
- matroid  $\mathfrak{m}$  on subsets of  $U$
- $f(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = w(s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_r)$ .

$$g(\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}) = \sum_x w(x) \alpha(\#i \text{ s.t. } x \in s_i).$$

$$\alpha(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1-p)^k}{p} \frac{e^p}{e-1} dp = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \ell! \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{m!} \right) \sim \frac{\ln k}{e-1}.$$

First few values: 0, 1, 1.418, 1.672, 1.852, 1.991, ...

# Open questions

- Matroid intersection?

# Open questions

- Matroid intersection?
- Deterministic algorithm?

# Open questions

- Matroid intersection?
- Deterministic algorithm?
- Other uses of non-oblivious local search?