Assignment 2: Solution Sketch

Itay Hazan Random Graphs, Technion, 2017

January 19, 2018

1 Solving NP-Hard Problems on Random Graphs

First, we make the following important observations:

- Since $\frac{c}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^{4/3}}\right)$, then whp every component of the graph is either a tree or unicyclic (see the section on the Unicyclic Regime in the lecture notes).
- For any $f(n) = \omega(1)$, the size of the largest component of G(n, c/n) is at most $O(\log n + f(n))$. Choosing $f(n) = \log \log n$ shows that the largest component contains $O(\log n)$ vertices (whp).

We now sketch an algorithm and a brief correctness argument for each of the mentioned problems:

Maximum Clique. Since the graph is unicyclic whp, then there are no cliques on four vertices or more in the graph (whp). It therefore suffices to find a clique on three vertices or less in order to output a maximum clique whp. The simplest brute force algorithm, that goes over all possible subsets of V of size 3 or less and checks if they define a clique will do.

Maximum Independent Set. The largest independent set of a graph is the union of the largest independent sets of each of its components. It therefore suffices to argue a way to find the maximum independent set of each component. Since we know that each component contains at most $c \log n$ vertices whp, then a brute force algorithm that finds a maximum independent set in a component by going through all possible subsets of the vertices and checking for each subset whether it forms an independent set takes $2^{c \log n} = n^c = \text{poly}(n)$. Since there are at most n components, then applying this procedure for every component takes polynomial time.

Minimum Vertex Cover. Recall that for every graph G = (V, E), $S \subset V$ is an independent set of G iff $V \setminus S$ is a vertex cover for G. Therefore, if S is a maximum independent set the $V \setminus S$ is a minimum vertex cover. We can therefore run the algorithm for finding (whp) a maximum independent set, and returning the complement of its output.

Minimum Feedback Vertex Set. Given a graph G, we must remove at least one vertex from every cycle in G in order to transform it into a tree. Since whp every component in G(n, c/n) is unicyclic, then it suffices to run DFS on each component and remove every vertex from which a backwards edge goes out.

Chromatic Number. Recall that in G(n, c/n), each component is either a tree or unicyclic whp. We know that the chromatic number of trees is 2, and the chromatic number of cycles is either 2 or 3, depending on the parity of the number of vertices: if there is an even number of vertices, then the cycle can be colored with two alternating colors, and otherwise we need an extra color. We therefore output the following: if there is an odd cycle in G, answer '3'. Otherwise, answer '2'.

2 Unicyclic Graphs, Empirically

2.a. Let $N = \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n}$ denote the number of graphs with *n* vertices and *n* edges. Denote $p = \Pr[G(n, n) \text{ is connected}]$. Clearly,

$$p = \frac{U_n}{N}.$$

2.b. Suppose we sample t graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_t \sim G(n, n)$, and let us define an indicator I_i for every $i \in [t]$ as follows:

$$I_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } G_i \text{ is connected} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, I_1, \ldots, I_t are i.i.d. Ber(p) random variables. Define $\bar{I} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i \in [t]} I_i$. By the weak law of large numbers, $\bar{I} \xrightarrow{P} p$, and therefore we can use \bar{I} as an estimator for p. We can therefore estimate U_n by $\left[\bar{I} \cdot {\binom{n}{2}}{n}\right]$.

2.c. Since the I_i s are i.i.d., then

$$\mathbb{V}[\sum_{i\in[t]}I_i] = \sum_{i\in[t]}\mathbb{V}[I_i] = tp(1-p).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{I}] = \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i\in[t]}I_i\right] = \frac{1}{t^2}\mathbb{V}[\sum_{i\in[t]}I_i] = \frac{p(1-p)}{t}.$$

Since $X = \left[\bar{I} \cdot {\binom{n}{2}}_{n} \right]$, then

$$\mathbb{V}[X] = {\binom{n}{2}}{n}^2 \cdot \frac{p(1-p)}{t},$$

i.e.

$$\sigma[X] = \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{t}}$$

2.d. By the 68-95-99.7 rule, $\Pr[|X - U_n| \le 3\sigma(X)] \approx 0.997$. Requiring $\Pr[X = U_n] \approx 0.997$ is the same as requiring $\Pr[|X - U_n| < 1/2] \approx 0.997$. It therefore suffices to demand that $3\sigma(X) < \frac{1}{2}$, namely:

$$t > 36 \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n}^2 \cdot p(1-p).$$

It therefore also suffices to require

$$t > 36 \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n}^2 \cdot p,$$

and since $U_n = p \cdot {\binom{n}{2} \choose n}$, then we get:

$$t > 36 \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n} U_n \approx 36 \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{n} n^n$$

2.e. I wrote the following code, that uses a python library called *networkx* to easily represent graphs:

```
import networkx as nx
from scipy.special import binom
for n in [3,4,5]:
    num_graphs = int(36 * binom(binom(n,2),n) * n**n) + 1
    num_connected = 0
    for i in range(num_graphs):
        num_connected += int(nx.is_connected(nx.gnm_random_graph(n,n)))
```

print('U_{} = {}'.format(n, num_connected/num_graphs*binom(binom(n,2),n)))

The output was:

 $U_3 = 1.0$ $U_4 = 15.0$ $U_5 = 222.005$

3 Unicyclic Graphs, Combinatorially

3.a. Every connected unicyclic graph can be viewed as a cycle whose vertices are "roots" for trees.

Let $3 \le k \le n$ denote the number of vertices in the cycle. We sum over the possible values of k: There are two main approaches towards this:

1. The number of rooted k-forests on n vertices is $\binom{n}{k}kn^{n-k-1}$ (see Cayley's Formula: A Page from a Book by Arnon Avron and Nachum Dershowitz for proof). After partitioning the n vertices into k rooted trees, we connect all of the roots in a cycle. There are $\frac{(k-1)!}{2}$ ways of sorting k elements in a cycle, and hence we get:

$$U_n = \sum_{k=3}^n \binom{n}{k} k n^{n-k-1} \cdot \frac{(k-1)!}{2}.$$

2. First, choose k vertices for the cycle $\binom{n}{k}$, order them $\frac{(k-1)!}{2}$, and then partition the rest of the vertices into k sets for the k trees $\binom{n-k}{n_1,\dots,n_k}$, and order them within the trees.

$$U_n = \sum_{k=3}^n \frac{(k-1)!}{2} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \le n_1, \dots, n_k \le n-k \\ \sum n_i = n-k}} \binom{n-k}{n_1, \dots, n_k} \prod_{i=1}^k (n_i+1)^{n_i-1}.$$

3.b. The calculations are left for the reader.

4 Unicyclic Graphs, Using the Internet

Plugging '1, 15, 222, 3360' into the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences gives the following page. In one of the results in this page, the following asymptotic expansion of U_n is given:

$$U_n = n^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} - o(1) \right).$$

We therefore conclude that $U_n = \Theta(n^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$.