

# Approximate Polymorphisms Joint work with Noam Lifshitz, Dor Minzer, Elchanan Mossel





Yuval Filmus, 12 March 2021, MIT Reading Group



#### **European Research Council**

Established by the European Commission



# Judgement Aggregation

	Means?	Motive?	Guilty?
Ehud	Yes	No	No
Shamgar	No	Yes	No
Deborah	Yes	Yes	Yes
Majority	Yes	Yes	No

Defendant is guilty if they have the **means** and the **motive** 



# Judgement Aggregation

	Means?	Motive?	Guilty?
Ehud	Yes	No	No
Shamgar	No	Yes	No
Deborah	Yes	Yes	Yes
Majority	Yes	Yes	No

Defendant is guilty if they have the **means** and the **motive** 

**Inconsistent!** 



#### Given:

## Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

# Polym

n

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

orp	<b>his</b>	ms
	k	
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

# Polym

n

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

7	orp	<b>his</b>	ms	
		k		
	0	1	1	
	1	0	1	A
	1	1	0	
	• • •	• • •	• • •	pro
	0	0	1	

# All rows satisfy operty P



n

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$



# All rows satisfy property P



n

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$



n

# Given: Predicate $P \subseteq \{0,1\}^k$ Function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

"f is a polymorphism of P"





S Φ +- $\bigcirc$ 

#### A>B? B>C? C>A?

1	1
0	1
1	0
• • •	• • •
0	1



S Φ +- $\bigcirc$ >

#### A>B? B>C? C>A?

1	1	
0	1	
1	0	
• • •	•••	
0	1	



Forbidden rows: 0 0 0







#### A>B? B>C? C>A?

# Forbidden rows: 00







#### A>B? B>C? C>A?

Forbidden rows:  $\mathbf{O}$ 

Outcome must be legal

S

Φ

+--

0

>

Polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row) (Arrow's theorem)



#### A>B? B>C? C>A?

Forbidden rows: 000 111

Outcome must be legal

A function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is linear if  $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$ 

### A function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is linear if $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$



#### *x y x*⊕*y*

1	1
0	1
1	0
• • •	• • •
0	0

## A function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is linear if $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$



#### X⊕y V 1 1 0 1 0 1 • • • • • • 0 0



## Rows have even parity

### A function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is linear if $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$



# Rows have even parity

### A function f: $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is linear if $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$



Rows have even parity

## Outcome must have even parity

A function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is linear if  $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$ 

Polymorphisms: XORs of rows



Rows have even parity

# Outcome must have even parity



# **Example: AND**



X



# **Example: AND**

У	X∧Y
1	0
0	0
1	1
• • •	• • •
0	0



X



# **Example: AND**

AND





# **Example: AND**

## Last coord computes AND





# **Example: AND**



Polymorphisms: ANDs of rows, O



# **Example: AND**





# **Example: NAND**





# **Example: NAND**



# Forbidden row:







**↓***f* 

1



# **Example: NAND**



# Forbidden row:









# **Example: NAND**

0

0

• • •

1

**J**f



Outcome not 11



## Polymorphisms: Intersecting families





0

# **Example: NAND**

0

0

• • •



Outcome not 11

# Post's Lattice



# **Truth-Functional Setting**
### XOR function

0	1	0⊕1
1	0	1⊕0
1	1	1⊕1
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	0⊕0

### XOR function

1	0∧1
0	1∧0
1	1∧1
• • •	•••
0	0^0

### XOR function

### AND function

### Majority function

1	0∧1
0	1∧0
1	1∧1
• • •	•••
0	0^0

0	1	1	Maj(0,1
1	1	1	Maj(1,1,
1	0	0	Maj(1,0
• • •	• • •	•••	• • •
0	0	0	Maj(0,0



### XOR function



Always have dictators, sometimes "antidictators," sometimes constants

### AND function

### Majority function

1	0∧1	0	1	1	Maj(0,1,
0	1∧0	1	1	1	Maj(1,1,
1	1∧1	1	0	0	Maj(1,0,
• • •	• • •	•••	• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0^0	0	0	0	Maj(0,0,



### **XOR** function



Always have dictators, sometimes "antidictators," sometimes constants Dokow & Holzman: Other polymorphisms exist only for AND, XOR

### AND function

### Majority function

### **Approximate Polymorphisms**



### **Approximate Polymorphisms**



# **Approximate Polymorphisms**











### **Approximate Polymorphisms** All rows 0 s every satisfy 0 approximate property P • • • . . . . . . polymorphism 0 close to an exact polymorphism? Satisfies P w.p. 0.9 prox polymorphism Exact polymorph.









#### Not-All-Equal

#### **Even Parity**

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	•••
0	0	1

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

### NAND



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
•••	•••	•••
0	0	0



#### Not-All-Equal

#### **Even Parity**

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	•••
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row)

(Kalai's theorem)

### NAND



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
•••	•••	•••
0	0	0



### Not-All-Equal

### **Even** Parity

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

Approx polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row)

(Kalai's theorem)

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: XORs of rows

(Linearity testing)

#### NAND



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0



### Not-All-Equal

### **Even** Parity

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

Approx polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row)

(Kalai's theorem)

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: XORs of rows

(Linearity testing)

### NAND

### AND function



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

ms: Approx polymorphisms: Intersecting families

(Friedgut-Regev)



### **Not-All-Equal**

### **Even** Parity

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

Approx polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row)

(Kalai's theorem)

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: XORs of rows

(Linearity testing)

### NAND

### AND function



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: Intersecting families

(Friedgut-Regev)

Approx polymorphisms: ANDs of rows, constant O

(This work)





### Not-All-Equal

### **Even Parity**

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
• • •	• • •	• • •
0	0	1

Approx polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row)

(Kalai's theorem)

0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0
•••	• • •	•••
0	0	0

Approx polymorphisms: XORs of rows

(Linearity testing)

### NAND

### AND function



0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1
• • •	•••	Impro Neham
0	0	0

ms: Approx polymorphisms: Intersecting families

(Friedgut-Regev)

Approx polymorphisms: ANDs of rows, constant O

(This work)



Michal Parnas, Dana Ron, Alex Samorodnitsky: Testing Basic Boolean Formulae (2002)



Michal Parnas, Dana Ron, Alex Samorodnitsky: Testing Basic Boolean Formulae (2002)

Test for f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  being a degree k monomial:

- 1. Test that  $\Pr[f = 1] = 2^{-k}$ .
- 2. Test that  $f(x \land y) = f(x) \land f(y)$ .



Michal Parnas, Dana Ron, Alex Samorodnitsky: Testing Basic Boolean Formulae (2002)

Test for f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  being a degree k monomial:

- 1. Test that  $\Pr[f = 1] = 2^{-k}$ .
- 2. Test that  $f(x \land y) = f(x) \land f(y)$ .

[PRSO2] could not analyze this "natural" test.



Michal Parnas, Dana Ron, Alex Samorodnitsky: Testing Basic Boolean Formulae (2002)

Test for f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  being a degree k monomial:

- 1. Test that  $\Pr[f = 1] = 2^{-k}$ .
- 2. Test that  $f(x \land y) = f(x) \land f(y)$ .

[PRSO2] could not analyze this "natural" test.

Our work shows that this test works!



Every function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  has unique representation as multilinear poly



- Degree of f: degree of unique representation (as polynomial)

Every function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  has unique representation as multilinear poly



- Every function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$  has unique representation as multilinear poly
- Degree of f: degree of unique representation (as polynomial)
- Noise operator  $T_
  ho$  multiplies degree d monomials ("level d") by  $ho^d$



- Every function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  has unique representation as multilinear poly
- Degree of f: degree of unique representation (as polynomial)
- Noise operator  $T_{
  ho}$  multiplies degree d monomials ("level d") by  $ho^d$
- Constant coefficient is expectation of f



- Every function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  has unique representation as multilinear poly
- Degree of f: degree of unique representation (as polynomial)
- Noise operator  $T_{
  ho}$  multiplies degree d monomials ("level d") by  $ho^d$
- Constant coefficient is expectation of f
- Important observation: different monomials are orthogonal



### Simpler example



Polymorphisms: Dictators (*i*-th row) **Constant functions** 

### Majority function

Last coord computes Majority

- A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if
- $f(Maj(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, Maj(x_n, y_n, z_n)) = Maj(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), f(y_1, \dots, y_n), f(z_1, \dots, z_n))$

- A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if
  - f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))

A function  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if

 $f(\mathsf{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \mathsf{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))$ 

Fix *x*, average over *y*, *z*:  $T_{1/2}$ 

$$\mu_{1/2}f(x) = \frac{1-\mu^2}{2}f(x) + \mu, \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if

Fix x, average over y, z:  $T_{1/2}$ 

Comparing expectations on both sides:  $\mu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

$$f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))$$
  
ver y, z:  $T_{1/2}f(x) = \frac{1 - \mu^2}{2}f(x) + \mu, \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$ 

A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if

Fix *x*, average over *y*, *z*:  $\mu = \frac{1 - \mu^2}{2} \mu$ 

Comparing expectations on both sides:  $\mu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .

f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))

$$+\mu \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

- A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if
- Fix x, average over y, z:  $T_{1/2}$
- Comparing expectations on both sides:  $\mu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
- If  $\mu \in \{\pm 1\}$ , function is constant.

$$f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))$$
  
ver y, z:  $T_{1/2}f(x) = \frac{1 - \mu^2}{2}f(x) + \mu, \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$ 

- A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if
  - $f(\mathsf{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \mathsf{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))$
- Fix x, average over y, z:  $T_{1/2}$
- Comparing expectations on both sides:  $\mu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
- If  $\mu \in \{\pm 1\}$ , function is constant.
- If  $\mu = 0$  then  $T_{1/2}f = \frac{1}{2}f$ , so deg

= Maj(
$$f(x), f(y), f(z)$$
)  
 $_{2}f(x) = \frac{1 - \mu^{2}}{2}f(x) + \mu, \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$ 

$$gf = 1$$
, so  $f$  is a dictator.

- A function f:  $\{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a polymorphism of Majority if
  - $f(\mathsf{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \mathsf{Mai}(f(x), f(y), f(z))$
- Fix x, average over y, z:  $T_{1/2}$
- Comparing expectations on both sides:  $\mu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
- If  $\mu \in \{\pm 1\}$ , function is constant.
- If  $\mu = 0$  then  $T_{1/2}f = \frac{1}{2}f$ , so deg Everything also holds approximately, using FKN theorem!

$$= \operatorname{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))$$
  
$$_{2}f(x) = \frac{1 - \mu^{2}}{2}f(x) + \mu, \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

$$gf = 1$$
, so  $f$  is a dictator.

# **Polymorphisms of AND**

- A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if
  - f(xy) = f(x)f(y)

# **Polymorphisms of AND**

### Fix *x*, average over *y*:

- A function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if
  - f(xy) = f(x)f(y)

 $T_{\downarrow}f(x) = \mu f(x), \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$
Fix *x*, average over *y*:



- A function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if
  - f(xy) = f(x)f(y)

$$x) = \mu f(x), \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

- A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if f(xy) = f(x)f(y)Fix *x*, average over *y*:  $T_{\downarrow}f(x) = \mu f(x), \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$
- on Fourier expansion

 $\mathbb{E}[f(xy)] = \text{average of } f \text{ over values "below" } x$ 

Problem: one-sided noise operator  $T_{\downarrow}$  has complicated effect

- A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if f(xy) = f(x)f(y)Fix *x*, average over *y*:  $T_{\perp}f(x)$
- Problem: one-sided noise operator  $T_{\downarrow}$  has complicated effect on Fourier expansion
  - However, can read Fourier expansion of  $T_{\rm L}f$ from *biased* Fourier expansion of *f* !

$$x) = \mu f(x), \qquad \mu = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

- A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if f(xy) = f(x)f(y)Fix *x*, average over *y*:  $T_{\downarrow}f(x) = \mu f(x),$   $\leftarrow$  Unbiased inputs
- Problem: one-sided noise operator  $T_{\downarrow}$  has complicated effect on Fourier expansion
  - However, can read Fourier expansion of  $T_{\perp}f$ from *biased* Fourier expansion of *f* !

- A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if f(xy) = f(x)f(y)Fix *x*, average over *y*: (3/4,1/4)-biased inputs  $\rightarrow T_{\perp}f(x) = \mu f(x), \quad \leftarrow Unbiased inputs$ 
  - Problem: one-sided noise operator  $T_{\downarrow}$  has complicated effect on Fourier expansion
    - However, can read Fourier expansion of  $T_{\rm L}f$ from *biased* Fourier expansion of *f* !

A function f:  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is a polymorphism of AND if

# Fix *x*, average over *y*:

f(xy) = f(x)f(y)

 $\mathbb{E}[f(xy)] = \text{average of } f \text{ over values "below" } x$ 

(3/4,1/4)-biased inputs  $\rightarrow T_{\perp}f(x) = \mu f(x), \leftarrow Unbiased inputs$ 

- **Cannot directly compare biased and unbiased Fourier expansions!** The two expansions depend on different parts of f.
  - However, can read Fourier expansion of  $T_{\perp}f$ from *biased* Fourier expansion of *f* !

Starting point:  $T_{\downarrow}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).

Starting point:  $T_{\downarrow}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).

Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\downarrow}f$  has decaying tails.

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.
- For random x'', y'', we have  $f_{x''}(x')f_{y''}(y') \approx f_{x''y'}(x'y')$  and  $f_{x''} \approx F$ .

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.
- For random x'', y'', we have  $f_{x''}(x')f_{y''}(y') = f_{x''y''}(x'y')$  and  $f_{x''} \approx F$ .

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.
- For random x'', y'', we have  $f_{x''}(x')f_{y''}(y') = f_{x''y'}(x'y')$  and  $f_{x''} \approx F$ .
- Implies that  $f_{x''}, f_{y''}, f_{x''y''}$  are ANDs, hence  $f \approx F \approx f_{x''}$  is close to an AND.

Bourgain's junta theorem: f is close to a junta F.

Define  $f_{x''}(x') = f(x', x'')$ , where x' are junta variables.

#### **Easier than proof in paper!**

- Starting point:  $T_{\perp}f \approx \mu f$ , where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f] \gg 0$  (otherwise  $f \approx 0$ ).
- Since noise operator is "low-pass filter",  $f \approx \mu^{-1}T_{\perp}f$  has decaying tails.
- For random x'', y'', we have  $f_{x''}(x')f_{y''}(y') = f_{x''y'}(x'y')$  and  $f_{x''} \approx F$ .
- Implies that  $f_{x''}, f_{y''}, f_{x''y''}$  are ANDs, hence  $f \approx F \approx f_{x''}$  is close to an AND.





#### • If $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ then f is $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant.

- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant.
- If  $\Pr[f(x_1 \cdots x_k) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_k)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to AND or constant.

- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. • If  $\Pr[f(x_1 \cdots x_k) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_k)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to AND or constant. • If  $\Pr[f(\operatorname{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \operatorname{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a dictator or a constant.



- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. • If  $\Pr[f(x_1 \cdots x_k) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_k)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to AND or constant. • If  $\Pr[f(\operatorname{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \operatorname{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a
- dictator or a constant.
- Same for Majority on any odd number of inputs.



- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. • If  $\Pr[f(x_1 \cdots x_k) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_k)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to AND or constant. • If  $\Pr[f(\operatorname{Maj}(x, y, z)) = \operatorname{Maj}(f(x), f(y), f(z))] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$  then f is  $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a
- dictator or a constant.
- Same for Majority on any odd number of inputs.
- Ongoing work: many more functions!



#### • If $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ then f is $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. What is the best relation between $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ ?

- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. What is the best relation between  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ ?
- If  $\Pr[f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a dictator or a constant. Works for any Majority. Dokow & Holzman: Non-trivial exact polymorphisms only for AND, XOR. Can we generalize this from Maj to any function other than AND, XOR?

- If  $\Pr[f(xy) = f(x)f(y)] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $\delta$ -close to an AND or a constant. What is the best relation between  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ ?
- If  $\Pr[f(Maj(x, y, z)) = Maj(f(x), f(y), f(z))] \ge 1 \varepsilon$  then f is  $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a dictator or a constant. Works for any Majority. Dokow & Holzman: Non-trivial exact polymorphisms only for AND, XOR. Can we generalize this from Maj to any function other than AND, XOR?
- If  $\Pr[f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)] \ge \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  then *f* correlates with exact polymorphism. Does a similar statement hold for AND?



#### SAT is NP-complete

 $(x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4)$ 

#### SAT is NP-complete Not-All-Equal-SAT is NP-complete

 $(x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4)$  $NAE(x_1, \overline{x_2}, x_3) \land NAE(x_1, x_2, x_4)$ 

### SAT is NP-complete Not-All-Equal-SAT is NP-complete XOR-SAT is in P

 $(x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4)$  $NAE(x_1, \overline{x_2}, x_3) \land NAE(x_1, x_2, x_4)$  $(x_1 \oplus \overline{x_2} \oplus x_3) \land (x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4)$ 

SAT is NP-complete

Not-All-Equal-SAT is NP-complete

XOR-SAT is in P

Schaefer's theorem: If all predicates have one of the following polymorphisms, in P: constant 0, constant 1, AND, OR, Majority, XOR Otherwise, NP-complete.

 $(x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4)$  $NAE(x_1, \overline{x_2}, x_3) \land NAE(x_1, x_2, x_4)$  $(x_1 \oplus \overline{x_2} \oplus x_3) \land (x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4)$ 

SAT is NP-complete

Not-All-Equal-SAT is NP-complete

XOR-SAT is in P

Schaefer's theorem: If all predicates have one of the following polymorphisms, in P: constant 0, constant 1, AND, OR, Majority, XOR Otherwise, NP-complete.

Recently extended to non-binary domains (Dichotomy Theorem).

 $(x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4)$  $NAE(x_1, \overline{x_2}, x_3) \land NAE(x_1, x_2, x_4)$  $(x_1 \oplus \overline{x_2} \oplus x_3) \land (x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4)$