## Erdős-Ko-Rado for $\mu_p$ using Katona's circle method

## Yuval Filmus

November 7, 2010

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{2^{[n]}}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an *intersecting family* if every two  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  intersect. For example, the family

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{ S \subset [n] : i \in S \}$$

is intersecting; it is called a dictatorship.

For each  $p \in [0, 1]$ , we define a measure  $\mu_p$  on families of subsets of [n]. Let  $X_p$  be a random subset of [n] obtained by selecting each  $i \in [n]$  with probability p. Then

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = \Pr[X_p \in \mathcal{F}].$$

The well-known Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem (in its weighted version) characterizes the intersecting families of maximal measure for  $p \leq 1/2$  (when p > 1/2, the maximal measure depends on n).

**Theorem 1** (EKR). Let  $p \in [0, 1/2]$ . Then every intersecting family  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \leq p$ . Moreover, if p < 1/2 then  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = p$  iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is a dictatorship.

*Proof.* Let C be a circle whose circumference has length 1. Pick n uniformly random points  $x_i$  on the circle (independently). Let I be any interval of length p on the circle, without its right endpoint. The set

$$X_I = \{i \in [n] : i \in I\}$$

is distributed according to  $X_p$ , and therefore  $\Pr[X_I \in \mathcal{F}] = \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$ . If we pick the interval I itself uniformly at random on the circle, then we still get  $\Pr[X_I \in \mathcal{F}] = \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$ , since the distribution of the points  $x_i$  is rotationally invariant.

Consider now any arrangement of the points on the circle, and let  $y_1, y_2$  be any two starting points of intervals of length p such that  $X_{[y_1,y_1+p)}, X_{[y_2,y_2+p)} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is intersecting, both intervals must intersect, i.e.  $|y_1 - y_2| \leq p$  (here we use  $p \leq 1/2$ ). Denoting by Y the set of starting points of intervals y such that  $X_{[y,y+p)} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we see that the diameter of Y is at most p, and so conditioned on the positions of  $x_i$ ,  $\Pr[X_I \in \mathcal{F}] \leq p$ . We conclude that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \leq p$ .

Suppose now that p < 1/2 and that  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) = p$ . Thus for almost all arrangements of points  $x_i$ , Y consists of an interval of length p. Consider one such

arrangement, and suppose wlog that Y = (0, p]. Since  $0 \notin Y$ , there must be a point  $x_s$  whose exact position is p. Let  $X = X_{[p,2p)}$ . Denote by E the event that the points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are chosen so that  $x_s = p$ , points in X are located in [p, 2p), points not in X are located outside of  $(0, 2p + \epsilon)$ , and no points coincide, where  $\epsilon$  is chosen small enough so that  $2p + \epsilon < 1$ . Since  $\Pr[E] > 0$  conditioned on  $x_s = p$ , there is some arrangement of points satisfying E and |Y'| = p (this follows from rotation invariance). Notice that  $p \in Y'$  but  $p + \delta \notin Y'$  for  $\delta < \epsilon$ , since otherwise  $p + \delta \in Y$  (here we're using the fact that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subset B$  then  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ). We conclude that Y' = (0, p]. For small enough  $\delta > 0$ ,  $X'_{[\delta,\delta+p)} = \{x_s\}$ , and so  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subset [n] : s \in A\}$  is a dictatorship.

Open question: use this proof method to show that if  $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \ge p - \epsilon$  then there's a point s contained in  $1 - c_{p,\epsilon}$  of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ .